Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

ATTEST: John Demography CLERK UNITED STATES DISTINCT COURT

Page 1 of 3

JUNIO 13

JUNIO 14

JUNIO 15

JU

JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

JUN 1 3 2008

FILED CLERK'S OFFICE

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: AVANDIA MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 1871

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-20)

On October 16, 2007, the Panel transferred two civil actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 528 F.Supp.2d 1339 (J.P.M.L. 2007). Since that time, 164 additional actions have been transferred to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Cynthia M. Rufe.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and assigned to Judge Rufe.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania for the reasons stated in the order of October 16, 2007, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Cynthia M. Rufe.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 15 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 15-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

CLERK'S OFFICE

JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Jeffer N. Lüthi

FOR THE PANEL:

Clork of the Panel

Page 1 of 2

IN RE: AVANDIA MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 1871

SCHEDULE CTO-20 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST. DIV. C.A. #</u>	CASE CAPTION
ALABAMA SOUTHERN	
ALS 1 08-268	Sharron Edwards, et al. SmithKline Beecham Corp.
ALS 1 08-269	Linda Jones, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.
ALS 1 08-270	Carolyn Knight v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.
ALS 1 08-271	William Hanekamp, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.
3-2-3	William Hanckamp, et al. V. Simulikime Beecham Corp.
CALIFORNIA CENTRAL	
CAC 2 08-3372	Lynel Dixon v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.
CAC 2 08-3472	Cassandra Woehr, etc. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., et al.
CAC 2 08-3474	Linda Baldwin v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., et al.
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN	
CAN 3 08-2659	Harold Douglas Edwards, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., et al.
CAN 3 08-2660	James King, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., et al.
CAN 3 08-2661	Randy Nabers, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., et al.
CAN 3 08-2662	Mary Louise Abbott, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., et al.
CAN 3 08-2664	Kathryn Brown, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., et al.
CAN 3 08-2665	Doris E. Secord, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., et al.
CAN 3 08-2666	Allen Williams, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., et al.
CAN 3 08-2667	David Compton, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., et al.
CAN 4 08-2574	Rosena Perkins, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., et al.
KENTUCKY EASTERN	
KYE 5 08-217	Robert Yates, etc. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.
	у и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и
KENTUCKY WESTERN	
KYW 3 08-279	Adam Troy Williams v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.
I OLUGIANA E A CERTA	
LOUISIANA EASTERN	
LAE 2 08-3496	Carolyn M. Gracia v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.
LAE 2 08-3515	Donald Boman, Sr. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.
LAE 2 08-3516	Clarence Barra, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.
LAE 2 08-3517	Richard Woodford, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.
LAE 2 08-3518	Elbert Buckley, etc. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.
LAE 2 08-3519	Peggy Johnson, etc. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.
LAE 2 08-3520	Edward Fast, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.
LAE 2 08-3521	George H. Gurtner v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.
LAE 2 08-3522	Allen LeBlue, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.
LAE 2 08-3523	Allen Fabre, Sr., et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.
LAE 2 08-3528	Preston Honor, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.
LAE 2 08-3529	Ronald Plaisance, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.

DIST. DIV. C.A. # CASE CAPTION

LOUISIANA MIDDLE

LAM 3 08-309 Gerald Acosta v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.

LAM 3 08-312 Robin Hasten, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.

LOUISIANA WESTERN

LAW 2 08-709 Lawrence Brown, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.

LAW 6 08-702 Clara Touchet, etc. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.

LAW 6 08-704 Vergie Jones, etc. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.

MARYLAND

MD 1 08-1313 Don Thomas v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.

MINNESOTA

MN 0 08-1463 Jimmie Thomas, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.

NEW YORK WESTERN

NYW 1 08-386 Rence Mick, etc. v. GlaxoSmithKline PLC, et al. Opposed 7/3/08

TENNESSEE MIDDLE

TNM 3 08-509 Regald Swauncy v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.

TEXAS NORTHERN

TXN 6 08-22 Odis Sparks v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.

TEXAS SOUTHERN

TXS 4 08-1559 Jackie Chalmers v. SmithKline Beccham Corp.

TXS 4 08-1592 Jacqueline Alsobrook v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.

TXS 4 08-1594 Angela C. Trent v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.

TXS 4 08-1599 Billy Carroll Tetleton v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.

TXS 4 08-1602 Jacqueline Taylor v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.

TXS 4 08-1603 Gerald Gifford v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.

TXS 4 08-1605 Ernest Marvin Littleton v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.

TXS 4 08-1606 Tom Kirk v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.

TXS 4 08-1608 John T. Kiddy v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.

TXS 4 08-1609 Tonia Honeycutt v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.

TXS 4 08-1610 Joyce R. Grant v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.

TXS 4 08-1613 John C. Hacker v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.

TXS 4 08-1614 Ralph S. DeArmond v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.

TXS 4 08-1616 Michael W. Bishop v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.